

Treatment of Jejunoileal Atresia (JIA) by primary anastomosis or enterostomy: Double the operations, double the risk of complications

Laurens D. Eeftinck Schattenkerkab, M. Backesa, Prof. WJ De Jongeb, Prof. LWE van Heurna, JPM Derikxa

a Department of Paediatric Surgery, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. b Tytgat Institute for Liver and Intestinal Research, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Aim

 Compare the risk of complications comparing both (creation + reversal) enterostomy operations to primary anastomosis.

Methods

- Retrospective cohort study of all patients with JIA treated between 1998-2021
- Severity of complications is classified by Clavien-Dindo

Results

- N= 80 (48 primary anastomosis; 32 enterostomy).
- More (p ≤ 0.01) CD ≥III following enterostomy.
- Both short-term (surgical site infection, wound dehiscence) and long-term (short bowel syndrome, adhesive bowel obstruction) complications occurred significantly more in those treated by enterostomy.
- No significant difference in anastomotic leakage/stenosis and mortality rates between both treatment strategies.

Discussion

- The previously assumed risk factors low birthweight, prematurity, ASA score, cystic fibrosis, size of resection & type of atresia were equally distributed in our cohort.
- More gastroschisis patients (7 vs 1) in enterostomy group
- Other risk factors were equally distributed between groups.
- Due to high complication risk following enterostomies, we advise a lower threshold for performing primary anastomosis.

Clavien-Dindo ≥III occurred significantly more often following enterostomy.

Anastomotic stenosis and leakage occurrence did not differ between both treatments.

We advise a lower threshold for primary anastomosis in patients treated for JIA.





Complication	Primary	Combined risk	Statistics*
	anastomosis	enterostomy	1
	(N=48)	(N=31)	
	(N=missing)	(N=missing)	
Early complications			
Clavien-Dindo ≥III	15 (31%)	19 (61%) (N=1)	P≤0.01
Surgical site infection	1 (2%)	6 (19%) (N=1)	F = 0.01
Wound dehiscence	1 (2%)	7 (23%) (N=1)	F≤0.01
Central venous catheter	18 (38%)	14 (45%) (N=1)	P = 0.63
infection			
Cholestasis	19 (40%) (N=4)	16 (52%) (N=1)	P = 0.14
Late complications			
Short bowel syndrome	11 (25%) (N=4)	14 (48%) (N=3)	P = 0.03
Anastomotic leakage	4 (9%)	3 (10%) (N=1)	F = 1
Anastomotic stenosis	8 (17%)	9 (30%)	P = 0.19
Adhesive bowel obstruction	4 (8%)	8 (25%)	P = 0.03
Incisional hernia	1 (2%)	3 (9%)	F = 0.30
Mortality	2 (4%)	3 (10%)	F = 0.38